

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,440	12/14/2001	Douglas J. Bradley	10541-780	6308
29074	7590 01/09/2004		EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			BINDA, GREGORY JOHN	
P.O. BOX 10 CHICAGO,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3679	
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\sim
	10/017,440	BRADLEY ET A	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A :	Greg Binda	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	iddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, ireply within the statutory minimum od will expire SIX (tute, cause the application to become	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered tim 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	B December 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.			ne merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,21 and 22</u> is/are pending in the	e application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideratio	n.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requiremen	it.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> i	s/are: a) accepted o	r b) $igtieq$ objected to by the Exa	ıminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form F	710-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed 3.	ents have been received ents have been received priority documents have	d. d in Application No been received in this Nationa	al Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a language of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	list of the certified copie estic priority under 35 U first sentence of the sp	s not receivedS.C. § 119(e) (to a provision ecification or in an Applicatio	nal application) n Data Sheet.
a) The translation of the foreign language	•		o a spacific
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence o			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N ice of Informal Patent Application (P	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s) 6) 🔲 Othe	er: .	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/017,440

Art Unit: 3679

t

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on Dec 8, 2003 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Amendment

3. The amendment filed Dec 8, 2003 is objected to because the status of claim 3 is incorrectly identified as "original" when, in fact, claim 3 was amended in an earlier amendment.

Drawings

- 4. The replacement drawings were received on Dec 8, 2003. These drawings are objected to because:
 - a. They fail to include the approved corrections to Figs. 3B & 4 which were filed Jul 14, 2003.
 - b. The protruding portion of second layer 36 added on Fig. 5 looks instead like a lump of material added on top of the outer surface of layer 36 (note how the circumferential edge of layer 36 runs underneath the lump). Also, the radial length of the

Art Unit: 3679

protruding portion of the second layer 36 should be no greater than the length of the of the portion of the end feature 17 protruding from the first layer 34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5, 21 & 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 recites the limitation, "deformable features" in line 3. Applicant has not pointed out where this limitation is supported, nor does there appear to be a written description of the limitation in the application as originally filed. To the contrary, the features are described as "strong" at page 7, line 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. Claims 1-5, 21 & 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. Claim 1 recites the limitation, "deformable features" in line 3. At page 6, line 27 through page 7, line 2+, the specification clearly requires that the features 17 be made of strong (i.e. non-deformable) material in order for them to have more

Application/Control Number: 10/017,440

Art Unit: 3679

compressive strength than the composite material. Since "deformable" features 17 would necessarily lack such compressive strength, the claimed invention is inoperative.

Conclusion

- 8. As required under MPEP 2163.06, new matter limitations have been considered in making rejections based on prior art. Therefore, applicant should **not** presume the absence of a prior art rejection with regard to claims 1-5, 21 & 22 is an indication that these claims would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 USC 112. Furthermore, if those claims are amended so as to remove new matter, any new grounds for rejection in a subsequent Office action will not preclude the finality of that action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (703) 305-2869. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:30 am to 7:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne, can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168 and 308-1113.

Greg Binda Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 3679